



Condom Use During Anal Intercourse and Other Prevention Strategies Among HIV-Positive MSM in Vancouver, British Columbia

Lachowsky NJ, Howard T, Cui Z, Sereda P,
Rich A, Lal A, Roth E, Hogg RS, Moore D

CAHR 2015 Epidemiology and Public Health Sciences Track
"Understanding and Preventing New Infections" O046





24th Annual Canadian Conference on HIV/AIDS Research

April 30-May 3, 2015 in Toronto, Ontario

24° Congrès annuel canadien de recherche sur le VIH/sida

30 avril au 3 mai 2015 à Toronto, Ontario

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

In the past 2 years I have been an employee of: None

In the past 2 years I have been a consultant for: None

In the past 2 years I have held investments in the following pharmaceutical organizations, medical devices companies or communications firms: **None**

In the past 2 years I have been a member of the Scientific advisory board for: None

In the past 2 years I have been a speaker for: None

In the past 2 years I have received research support (grants) from: None

In the past 2 years I have received honoraria from: None

I agree to disclose approved and non-approved indications for medications in this presentation: N/A

I agree to use generic names of medications in this presentation: N/A

There are no relationships to disclose: YES





Background

- Gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men (GBM) are disproportionately effected by HIV in Canada
 - Incidence rate 71 times higher than non-GBM (PHAC, 2014)
- In British Columbia, the number of new HIV diagnoses has remained stable for the past decade (~140-180/year)
- Early treatment and viral suppression are promoted for both clinical and prevention benefit







Aims

We seek to understand the current sexual practices of HIV-positive GBM in order to inform best sexual health promotion and prevention programming:

- To identify factors associated with condom use during anal intercourse among HIV-positive GBM
- To determine what preventive attitudes and alternative strategies were employed by HIV-positive GBM who did not report using condoms







Methods (1)

Momentum Health Study:

- Bio-behavioural longitudinal study
- RDS-recruitment (n=119 seeds for 719 total participants)
- 6-monthly in-person study visits:
 - Computer-assisted self-interview
 - Nurse-administered clinical questionnaire and screening

Eligibility Criteria:

- Report sex with another man in the past 6 months
- Aged 18 years or older







Methods (2)

- Explanatory Factors Individual-Level:
 - Demographics, prevention strategies, HIV-related attitudes
 - Psychosocial factors:
 - HAART Optimism (Van Den Ven et al., 2000): less fear of HIV disease and probability of HIV infection
 - Sexual Sensation Seeking (Kalichman & Rompa, 1995): need for varied, novel, and complex sexual experiences and willingness to take personal physical and social risks to enhance sexual sensations
 - Cognitive Escape (McKirnan et al., 2001): from past or ongoing sexual risk and vulnerability to HIV
 - Sexual Altruism (Nimmons & Folkman, 1999): personal and community subscales for HIV prevention







Methods (3)

Explanatory Factors – Event-Level:

- Partner relationship length and frequency of sex
- Participant's sexual position, awareness of HIV status
- Substance use by participant and by partner

Outcome:

- "Partner Matrix" 5 most recent sexual partners, and last sexual encounter with each of these
- Condom use versus non-use (limited to anal intercourse)

Analyses:

- Generalized linear mixed models
- Manual backward selection (p<0.05 significant)</p>







Results

- Figure 1 (right)
 describes the outcome
 variable at individual
 and event levels
- Table 1 (green) presents factors associated with condom use (vs. nonuse)
- Table 2 (orange)
 presents factors
 associated with condom
 non-use

Individuals

184 participants

Of these, 165 reported any anal intercourse (89.7%)

Of these, 31 reported condomprotected anal intercourse (18.8%)

Events

648 sexual events

Of those, 469 events included any anal intercourse (72.4%)

Of those, 106 events were condomprotected (22.6%)



Factors Associated with Condom Use (CU)

	# CU events / # events	% CU events	aOR	95% CI	
Psychosocial scales					
Sexual Sensation Seeking	n/a	n/a	o.86	0.78	0.94
Cognitive Escape	n/a	n/a	0.93	0.88	0.99
Sexual Altruism – Community	n/a	n/a	3-32	2.00	5.50
EVENT-LEVEL FACTORS					
Number of times of anal sex in P6M	n/a	n/a	o.86	0.77	0.97
Awareness of partner's HIV status					
No, I did not know his status	36/102	35-3	1.00		
Yes, I was <u>certain</u> he was HIV-negative	28/75	37-3	0.88	0.30	2.65
Yes, I <u>think</u> he was HIV-negative	10/30	33.3	0.65	0.13	3.15
Yes, I was <u>certain</u> he was HIV-positive	28/241	11.6	0.28	0.11	0.73
Yes, I <u>think</u> he was HIV-positive	4/21	19.0	1.24	0.21	7.46
GHB substance use by participant					
GHB not used	104/406	25.6	1.00		
GHB was used	2/63	3.2	0.12	0.02	0.77

CU = condom use; aOR = adjusted odds ratio; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval Model controls for sexual identity, sexual position, and participant MDMA use

Factors Associated with Non Condom Use

	aOR	95% CI	
SEXUAL STRATEGIES (mutually exclusive, referent: not used)			
Sero-sorting "Having sex without condoms only with guys I know are HIV-positive"	3.64	1.78	7.43
TasP-informed "Having sex <u>without condoms</u> if my viral load is low or I'm on HIV treatment"	2.32	1.12	4.80
Ask Status "Asking my sex partners about their HIV status before sex"	3-43	1.71	6.91
ATTITUDES (mutually exclusive, referent: disagree)			
(Sero-sorting)Agree "Having condomless sex only with guys who have the same HIV status as you is an effective means of safer sex"	2.27	1.09	4.72
(TasP-informed)Agree "Knowing a sex partner's viral load is just as important as knowing their HIV status"	2.39	1.09	5.21
(Ask Status) Agree "If my sex partner does not ask me about my HIV status then that means they mostly likely have HIV"	2.30	1.09	4.87

aOR = adjusted odds ratio; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval





Conclusions

- HIV-positive men who report condomless anal intercourse employ various prevention strategies that consider HIV status and viral load
- Psychosocial traits, attitudes, and substance use are important predictors of condom use
 - Some men seek condomless sex for pleasure; for others, it may be a form of coping or escape from life stressors
- These strategies do not appear to consider other sexually transmitted infections, such as syphilis







Momentum Study Team

Principal Investigators

Bob Hogg – BC CfE HIV/AIDS David Moore – BC CfE HIV/AIDS, BCCDC

Co-Investigators

Eric Roth – University of Victoria Willi McFarland – UCSF Henry Fisher Raymond – UCSF, SFDPH Tom Wong – Public Health Agency of Canada Tom Patterson – U of California -San Diego Rolando Barrios - BCCfE HIV/AIDS

Collaborators

Vancouver Coastal Health
Miranda Compton, David Hall
BC Centre for Disease Control
Mark Gilbert, Mel Krajden, Meaghan Thumath
Spectrum Health/Three Bridges CHC
Phil Sestak, Fraser Norrie

BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS

Nate Lachowsky, Paul Serada, Susan Shurgold, Nada Gataric, Zishan Cui, Allie Carter, Guillaume Colley , Warren Michelow, Arn Schilder

Community Advisory Board

Terry Howard – Positive Living BC
Jesse Brown – YouthCO
Jody Jollimore – Health Initiative for Men (HIM)
Everett Blackwell – community member

Study Office

Ashleigh Rich, Alan Lal, Kris Stephenson, Monika Valyi

Biolytical Laboratories Rick Galli

Momentum Participants!







Acknowledgements: Community Partners

















Acknowledgements: Academic Partners











Acknowledgements: Funders







Public Health Agency of Canada

Agence de santé publique du Canada

